REMARKS

The foregoing amendment is submitted in further response to the

outstanding Office Action dated February 5, 2007, and to the Advisory Action

mailed June 12, 2007.

The Advisory Action indicates that the amendment submitted June 5,

2007 has not been entered because the revision to Claim 4 (discussed during the

interview) altered the scope of the claim, and includes limitations not previously

considered. In addition, the Advisory Action also indicates that Claim 9 does not

include all the limitations of the intervening claims.

With regard to the latter comment concerning Claim 9, Applicants note

that item 3 on page 3 of the Office Action dated February 5, 2007 indicates that

Claim 9 is directed to allowable subject matter, and would be allowable if

rewritten in independent form. In the amendment filed June 5, 2007, the last

paragraph of Claim 4 (as it existed at the time of the February 5, 2007 Office

Action, from which Claim 9 previously depended, indirectly) was inadvertently

omitted. By the foregoing amendment, that limitation has been reinserted.

Applicants note that Claim 9 as it existed at the time of the February 5,

2007 Office Action depended directly from Claim 8, which had been cancelled.

Accordingly, in rewriting Claim 9, Applicants incorporated the limitations of

Page 6 of 8

Claim 6, which were identical to the limitations recited in Claim 8. Although

Claim 8 depended from Claim 7 (which in turn depended from Claim 6, etc.),

after reviewing the limitations contained in Claim 7, Applicants have concluded

that they were not necessary to the allowability of the subject matter of Claim 9,

since they were otherwise unrelated to anything contained in Claim 9, or in

Claims 4 and 6. Accordingly, those limitations have been omitted from Claim 9

as set forth above. Applicants respectfully submit that Claim 9 as amended is

allowable in the form contained in the foregoing amendment, for the same

reasons that Claim 9 was previously indicated to contain allowable subject

matter.

Applicants also note that a Request for Continued Examination has been

submitted concurrently herewith.

In light of the foregoing remarks, this application should be in condition

for allowance, and early passage of this case to issue is respectfully requested. If

there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in general,

a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should

expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as

a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

Page 7 of 8

Serial No. 10/620,844 Supplemental Amendment Dated: August 6, 2007 Reply to Office Action Mailed: February 5, 2007 Attorney Docket No. 102063.56891US

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #102063.56891US).

Respectfully submitted,

Gary R. Edwards

Registration No. 31,824

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group P.O. Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300

Washington, DC 20044-4300 Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500 Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

GRE:kms 3961864_1